

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/992,363	11/16/2001	Kenneth G. De Souza	9794.00	5597	
26889 75	590 10/06/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL CHAN NCR CORPORATION			MCCLELLA	MCCLELLAN, JAMES S	
1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			3627		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>,</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/992,363	DE SOUZA ET AL.	S			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		James S McClellan	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If no	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicatio (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status	Pagnangive to communication(s) filed on 16	November 2001					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16						
2a)□	,—	nis action is non-final.		:_			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			IS			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c ion Papers	or election requirement.					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 November 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	. •					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).			
	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	,			
C Potent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/992,363

, Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to the social sciences) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process, the recieted process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 9-10 fail to apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts. It is noted that method claims 1-8 and 11-13 all include a transponder which the feature that uses the technological arts. The method of claims 9-10 can be carried out manually.

Art Unit: 3627

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,513,015 (Ogasawara).

Regarding **claim 1**, Ogasawara discloses a method, comprising at a portal to enclosure, receiving an identity signal from a transponder (see paragraph bridging columns 8-9); locating an account, based on the identity signal (see column 8, lines 4-16); creating a change in the account (see column 13, lines 38-55); **[claim 2]** the transponder is carried by a person (see Figure 1); **[claim 3]** the change in the account is beneficial to the person (see column 13, lines 38-55); **[claim 4]** the identity signal is invisible to third parties (inherent); and **[claim 5]** no social interaction with the person accompanies locating the account (inherent because account identification is electronic).

Regarding **claim 6**, Ogasawara discloses a method, comprising at a portal to an enclosure, receiving an identity signal from a transponder carried by a person (see paragraph bridging columns 8-9); and issuing an award to a party identified by the identity signal (see column 13, lines 38-55); **[claim 7]** the party identified is identical to the person (inherent); and **[claim 8]** the award is accompanied by no social interaction with the person at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/992,363 Page 4

, Art Unit: 3627

identity signal is received from the transponder (inherent because account identification is electronic).

Regarding claim 14, Ogasawara discloses a system as set forth in claim 1. Claims 15-18 are similar to claims 2-5 and are rejected for the same reasons as described above in detail.

Regarding claim 19, Ogasawara discloses a system as set forth in claim 6. Claims 20-21 are similar to claims 7-8 and are rejected for the same reasons as described above in detail.

5. Claims 9, 10, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticiapted by U.S. Patent Application No. US 2003/0078864 (Hardesty et al.).

Regarding **claim 9**, Hardesty et al. discloses a method, comprising maintaining a score of bonus points for a customer (see paragraph 0007); detecting entry of the customer through a portal (consumers "visit" certain websites via a portal); and in response to the entry, increasing the score (see paragraph 0007); and **[claim 10]** the step of detecting entry is accomplished with no social interaction with the customer (inherent because account identification is electronic).

Regarding claim 22, Ogasawara discloses a system as set forth in claim 9. Claim 23 is similar to claim 10 and is rejected for the same reasons as described above in detail.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,363

Art Unit: 3627

7. Claims 11, 13, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara in view of Hardesty et al.

Regarding **claims 11 and 13**, Ogasawara fails to explicitly disclose transmitting a message to a location in response to the identify signal.

Hardesty et al. teaches awarding an incentive in response to the identify signal of a user, when the user merely "visits" the retail store (in this case electronically, see paragraph 0007) and further teaches sending a message to the person and a third party which results in modification of a monetary account of the person (see paragraph 0046).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ogasawara with a response to the identify signal as taught by Hardesty et al., because providing an incentive for a customer to merely "visit" or enter the store attracts customers to the store, wherein inherently increasing the likelihood that the person will make a purchase.

Regarding **claim 24**, Ogasawara and Hardesty et al. disclose a system as set forth in claim 11. **Claim 26** is similar to claim 13 and is rejected for the same reasons as described above in detail.

8. Claims 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara in view of Hardesty et al. as applied to claims 11 and 24 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,903,880 (Biffar).

Regarding **claim 12**, Ogasawara in combination with Hardesty et al. show all the claimed elements as set forth above except for the use of the message comprises an e-mail message addressed to the person.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,363

Art Unit: 3627

Biffar teaches the use of e-mailing incentive/loyal award information (see column 16, lines 49-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ogasawara with e-mail notification of loyalty information, because e-mailing account information will allow users to keep track of potential awards or incentives.

Regarding **claim 25**, Ogasawara, Hardesty et al., and Biffar disclose a system as set forth in claim 12.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

French et al. is cited of interest for disclosing a cart return loyalty credit system.

Chen is cited of interest for disclosing a loyalty file structure for a smart card.

Herz et al. is cited of interest for disclosing a location enhanced information delivery system.

Kolls is cited of interest for disclosing an in-vehicle data communication system for processing e-commerce.

Ashery et al. is cited of interest for disclosing a system for collecting information associated with movement between locations.

Ogasawara is cited of interest for disclosing a system for customer recognition using wireless identification and visual data transmission.

Smith is cited of interest for disclosing a location tracking of individuals in physical spaces.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/992,363

Art Unit: 3627

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326 (Official communications - Before Final); (703) 872-9327 (Official communications - After Final); or

(703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Patent Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm September 30, 2003